A.—Sri G. NARAYANA GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(*a*) 2,000 acres of land have been brought under irrigated ragi during the summer 1965-66 and other crops like paddy and maize have not been taken up in this Taluka.

(*b*) The following facilities are given by different agencies :—

1. 40 quintals of improved seed ragi have been supplied to ryots.
2. 25 tons of ammonium sulphate have been distributed to ryots.
3. 21 diesel oil engine pumpsets and loan for 107 electrical pumpsets are given to ryots under hire purchase system.
4. In addition to the above, 9 diesel oil engine pumpsets have also been supplied by other agencies like Taluk Development Boards, etc., on daily hire charges.
5. About 900 acres of irrigated ragi have been sprayed to control ragi stemborer for which chemicals worth Rs. 1,000 supplied under subsidised rate.
6. Three power sprayers were made available for spraying the crops in addition to the hand operated sprayers available in the Taluka.

Release of forest lands for cultivation.

**Q.*—393. Sri S. P. PHAYDE (Ankola).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the forest lands released from the Forest Department and handed over to the Revenue Department for granting the same to the cultivators in Yellapur Taluk in North Kanara District has not been cleared of the jungle ;

(*b*) whether it has come to their notice that the grantees are not able to cultivate the said lands, unless the jungle is cleared of by the Forest Department ;

(*c*) the steps they propose to take in this behalf ?

A.—Sri B. RACHAIAH (Minister for Forests and Fisheries).—

(*a*) Clearance is in progress.

(*b*) No. The forest land is not yet handed over to the Revenue Department.

(*c*) Does not arise in view of reply to (*a*).

CONDOLENCE REFERENCE

re: The Demise of Sri A.B.P. Manje Gowda, Ex-Member, Representive Assembly.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I regret to inform the House of the sad demise of Sri A.B.P. Manje Gowda, an ex-member of the Mysore Representative Assembly during 1937-38, on Sunday, the 13th March 1966. I desire on behalf of the House to express our sense of the loss sustained and our sympathy to the relatives of the deceased. I request the Hon'ble Members to stand in silence for a minute as a mark of respect to the deceased.

(*The House stood in silence for a minute*).

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now, there are a few points which I would like to dispose of.

Member's Representation.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬ್ಯೈರೇ ಗೌಡ (ಹೊನಕೋಟೆ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೇನೆ.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have received the letter from Sri Channabyre Gowda and I am going to deal with it. Members should have a little patience.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಂದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ (ಚನ್ನಗಿರಿ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಒಂದು ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕು. ಹಬ್ಬದ ಪ್ರಯುಕ್ತ.....

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆ ವಿಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಂದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಹಬ್ಬ, ಆ ವಿಚಾರ ಹೇಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now the Hon'ble Member's reference is to Ugadi. Hon'ble Member must remember that he is in the House. I am dealing with it. Why don't the Members go and ask the Leader of the House, and their Whip. I do not mind even the five holidays. But this is not the way of taking it up. I have also received a request sent by several Hon'ble Members, as if I am a Headmaster granting holidays. What is this? Members have a joint responsibility.

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Shravanabelagola).—The Chair is not the Headmaster and we are not students, but M.L.As.

Mr. SPEAKER.—But the Members are trying to put me into that position by making a request, please do this.....

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎಂ. ದೇವ್ (ಗುಬ್ಬಿ).—ಯಾರೋ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪಾರ್ಟಿಯವರು ಹಾಗೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿಬೇಕು, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಏನು, ಮಾನಾನಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ (ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು).—ದೇವರು ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದು, ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ದೇವರ ಭಾಷೆ ಕಲಯಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ದೇವರು ನಮ್ಮ ಭಾಷೆ ಕಲತರೆ ಉತ್ತಮ. ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ನೋಡಿ, ಆಲೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದರೆ ಉತ್ತಮ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎಂ. ದೇವ್.—ಎಲ್ಲ ನೋಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ಆರ್ಥವಾಗಿವೆ ಎಂದು ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now with regard to Ugadi, I think we considered it and it was discussed with the Minister for Finance in the Business Advisory Committee and also at the Tea Table. We had informal discussions and we discussed—suppose we sit one day extra, one holiday and try to pack up adjusting the work and even then found it was not possible for all to go to their homes. It was possible for a few to go, and therefore five days adjournment at one stretch, it was expressly expressed as difficult. Therefore, it was dropped. After that it has again been revived by some Hon'ble Members. What can I do? Members should agree among themselves and suggest something. I have no objection. I will be very happy to accommodate and I want to respect the wishes of the members. Why not you do it after tea break? Or members may go to the longe, sit and discuss and come to some conclusion. But how can I resolve it on the floor of the House?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಂದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗಲ್ಲ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ. ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಸಲಹೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಿ. ಇದು ಬಹಳ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಹಬ್ಬ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಸಚಿವರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ಎಲ್ಲರ ಪ್ರೀತಿ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಪಾತ್ರರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸೋಮವಾರ, ಮಂಗಳವಾರ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿ.....

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅವರ ಕೋಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೋಗಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೇಳಬಹುದು. Now there are one or two matters which I wish to deal with. Yesterday Sri Lakkappa wanted to raise a matter of privilege on a letter written to him by a member of the Legislative Council. Of course, I said that I was not quite sure whether it comes within the ambit of privilege and I would consider and deal with it. Still having regard to the importance of the matter, I did not desire to shut it out completely so that the matter may be appraised by the Minister for Health or anybody that was concerned about it. Now I have gone into the matter, and I would like Sri Lakkappa to satisfy me and the House as to how this question becomes a matter of privilege. Privilege is attached either to a member of the Legislature or the House as much, and the Hon'ble Member whose name he has mentioned is a member of the Legislative Council. Is the privilege attached to him or the Council? I go a step further and try to reason out to show his conclusions may be wrong. Suppose I am to entertain that it is a breach of privilege, I have to deal with it, permit it and send it or refer it to the privileges committee. To which privilege committee should I send it? I believe there is a Privileges Committee in the Legislative Council. I have no jurisdiction over it. Does not the Hon'ble Member Sri Lakkappa think that it is a matter of privilege, if at all, for Sri Anjanappa to raise it in the Council, have it referred to by the Chairman to the Privileges Committee of the Council and pursue it? Can I entertain a privilege motion on this? Did the Hon'ble Member bestow attention and thought on this before he raised the point?

(Sri Lakkappa stood up to speak)

Let him think it over. I will hold it over. I request the Hon'ble Member to make a study of the matter and tell me tomorrow. Tomorrow means next working day, or even tomorrow if he comes to my house.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Regarding this question, of course it has to be studied deeply to know if there is privilege of a member of the Council. But the other aspect to be considered is that legislators' Home and guest houses where the members are lodged come under.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will deal with it. It is being brought to my notice as a person in charge of the amenities of the members. Further, Sri G. V. Anjanappa, M. L. C. holds a high position in public and therefore it has to be brought before the House not for the purpose of privilege motion but it has to be done by way of Calling Attention, Question or in other forms. We will deal with it.

Another matter is Sri S. Gopala Gowda has sent a petition. There is a petition submitted to the Mysore Legislative Assembly by the Mysore State Non-Gazetted Employees Association under rule 123 sub-rule (iii) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the House on 18-3-1966. I might incidentally mention in order that the matters may be very clear and no body need have any misapprehensions that yesterday evening a large number of persons came with plenty of papers and wanted to present them to me in my capacity as Speaker of the Assembly. At that time they desired and I also agreed that certain members of the legislature might be present because the members of the legislature are entitled at all times to come to the Speaker for proper performance of their duty and ask for guidance or make representations they like and that should be only consistent with other factors. Then they were there, it was given by them. I have them in my chambers because I found them to be defective. They do not conform to the rules that are framed by the Assembly for the guidance in regard to presentation of such petitions and the forms to be observed and particulars to be complied with. The one that has been presented today by Sri S. Gopala Gowda, conforms to the rules and I have got it with me. I shall have it examined and the only course is to refer it to the Committee on Petitions so that the Committee may give its report after which the matter can be dealt with. As for the other papers I have with me, I am unable even to produce them before the House because they do not comply with the rules. The House might remember that yesterday almost an analogous thing happened. Some hon'ble member wanted to present a certain paper as petition under the rules. Certain other members supported it and I attempted to tell them that it was not so. I do not know whether it is in accordance with the rules. The rules mention that a member cannot make a petition to the Assembly because only strangers can do it. A member has a higher and superior status.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO (Mysore City).—Sir, what the rule says is that he cannot present for himself. If it is on behalf of a party or anybody, he can do.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I ask whether it complies with the rules? How can I receive if that does not comply with the rules? There should be certain things. Sri Gopala Gowda has looked into the rules and complied with the formalities. Why is it that Sri Gopala Gowda alone should do it? Cannot other members also do it?

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Sir, with the consent of the Speaker alone it should be presented in a prescribed manner.

Sri G. V. GOWDA (Palya).—Sir, after all the Speaker can know whether the rules are complied with or not, only when he has entertained the petition and looked into it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Even before you received it, how can you say, Sir, it did not conform to the rules? Sir, you were not kind enough to receive it. After receiving it, if you had found that it did not comply with the rules, I think we would have really agreed with the Chair and known what we have done is wrong.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am happy that I am pointing out a mistake that I am supposed to have done. In the first place, I was told that I have permitted on several occasions papers being sent to me.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Any way the memorandum or petition has to be received by you. Your point is that it does not conform to the rules or form. As we all know you have allowed certain questions when they were not in conformity with rules or form. You have to help us if a question is not in order. The office must come to our help. After all the important thing is the spirit and the content of the memorandum. After all this is not a Magistrate's court to quote sections and all that. It is the highest body. The spirit and the contents, if you feel, are alright then certainly rules cannot come in the way. The spirit and content of the petition must be considered. It can be referred to the Government through you. That is all. The petition gets proper representation when it is presented when the House is in session and if that is passed on to the Government, it will have more weight.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am grateful to the Leader of the Opposition for trying to inculcate in me the importance of intentions of the matter and not adhering to rules. I am sorry that intentions are always to be covered by proper wording of the rules. Will the hon'ble member Sri K. S. Suryanarayana Rao refer to rule 131?

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—It says the petition has to be in a particular way. Unless the Speaker peruses it and knows what it is, how can it be said that a particular thing has been complied with or not?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Please read the next rule. Advance copy has to be given to the Speaker.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—If for any reason advance copy was not given to the Speaker, nothing prevented the Speaker from receiving the petition.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am sorry a member of the experience of Sri K. S. Suryanarayana Rao thinks that I could bave received it and be guided by the facts. So, my mistake according to him is, not receiving the petition and pointing out the defects.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಬೈರವಾ್ಜಿ (ತುರುವೇಕೆರೆ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನೆನ್ನೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಪಿಟಿಷನ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರೂ ಹಾಗೂ ಕೆಲವು ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದ

ಸದನ್ಯರು ರುಜುಮಾಡಿದಂತಹ ಒಂದು ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತಲುಪಿಸ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪಟ್ಟೆ. ಆದರೆ ರೂರ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತಾವು ಅದನ್ನು ಆಗ ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ನನ್ನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ರೂರ್ 128ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಾನು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು. ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬಹುದು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಮಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಈಗಲೂ ಸಹ ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ತಾವು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಮನವಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಮುಖಂಡರ ಸೂಚನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಆಹಾರ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಆಹಾರ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದು ಬಹು ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವಿಚಾರ. ಮನವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಹಾರ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿಯನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಇದ್ದುದರಿಂದ ಆಹಾರ ಸಚಿವರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಈ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾ ರಕ್ಕೆ ತಲುಪಿಸಬೇಕು. ಈ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈಗಲೂ ಸಹ ಈ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತಲುಪಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನನಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have heard the statement made by Sri Byrappaji. I am glad he has made the statement and stopped with that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬ್ಯೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು, ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟದ್ದನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Channabyre Gowda does not want any discussion on the Demands? Yesterday, the members of the Opposition were not there. Why not come and meet me?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬ್ಯೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಬರುವುದು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಲೇಟಾಯಿತು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not allowing. If he wants, he must come and meet me and tell me beforehand. Then only, I will consider.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬ್ಯೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ನಾನು ಹೇಳ ಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನೀವು ತಡವಾಗಿ ಬಂದರೆ ನನ್ನ ತಪ್ಪೆ? ನೀವು ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರೆ ಮತ್ತೆ ಬನ್ನಿ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿದರೂ ನೀವು ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಏನು ಮಾಡುವುದ ಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ? I am not allowing. There must be a limit. If members interfere, I am certainly going to take action. I am not allowing Sri Channabyre Gowda. If he again takes up that point, I am going to take action against him.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಬೈರಪ್ಪಾಜಿ.—ರೂರ್ 131ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನನ್ನ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—If he complies with the Rules, I will take action.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—He is going to correct the wording according to the Rules.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Advance copy has to be given to me.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—He is going to correct the first sentence of the petition.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬ್ಯೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not allowing it. Order, Order. I said, "send me a slip communicating the matter." Even that is not being complied with.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—You were pleased to say that you will see to the representation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I said : 'send a slip'. I am making that request. It has gone into the records. I am now making a request : let me know what it is.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Revenue, I beg to lay :

"Notification No. RD 140 LRY 65, dated 5th March 1966 (Exemption from the provisions of sections 25, 63, 64, 80 and 81A of the Mysore Land Reforms Act) ; as required under section 140 of the Mysore Land Reforms Act, 1961."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Notification is laid on the Table.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Development, Panchayat Raj and Municipal Administration, I beg to lay :

"Notification No. PLM 32 MLR 65, dated 1st March 1966 (The Mysore Municipalities Taxation (Amendment) Rules, 1966) ; as required under sub-section (6) of section 323 of the Mysore Municipalities Act, 1964."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Notification is laid on the Table.

Business of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I want to make a suggestion with regard to the Debate that is to take place. Yesterday, the members of the Opposition were not here—I am not analysing the reason—; they have not participated in the Debate. The members of the Congress Party have participated. I would like to give a little more chance to the members of the Opposition, if they agree. I think it is but proper that there should have been some sort of understanding. What have the Whips done ? They have not sent me the list.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Hebbur).—Sir, I would like to make a submission. Yesterday, you were pleased to permit me to raise a Privilege Motion. There is another Privilege Motion which relates to the atrocity of the Police.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I said : 'we will deal with it on Monday'. If the Members want it now, I will have to deal with it with insufficient facts.

Let the Debate continue.